

Calculating Name Frequency in Arabic

by Jack Halpern

December 13, 2009

1. Introduction

Augmenting DANA with frequency data from relevant lexical resources should increase the effectiveness with which it can be used to distinguish names from non-names. By including relevant frequency data, DANA can be used to determine the likelihood of an arbitrary string of Arabic being a name. Because such a system would be purely quantitative and deterministic, it could be easily used for automatically categorizing names and non-names.

2. Examples

Table 1 shows a selection of names taken from CJKI's v1.0 release of DANA. Shaded columns show frequency data taken from various lexical resources, but not yet available in DANA. The inclusion of such frequency information would allow for the creation of a finely-grained Name Probability field, which in turn could be used to automatically categorize names and non-names.

For illustrative purposes, in Table 1 variant forms of each Arabic name have been collapsed into a single a single lexeme, the lemma form of which is shown in Arabic. This is followed by Table 2 that shows the variants as well.

Table 1. Database of Arabic Names in Arabic (DANA)

Variants Collapsed

Corpus Name Count represents the occurrences of Arabic as a name in a tagged corpus.

Corpus Non-name Count represents the occurrences of Arabic as a non-name in a tagged corpus.

Name Probability represents the probability of Arabic being a name

Phonebook Count represents the occurrences of Arabic in CJKI phonebook databases

Intelligence Community Romanization	Arabic	Name Probability	Corpus Name Count	Corpus Non- name Count	Phonebook Count
Hasan	حسن	L4	1 726	4 853	212429
'Abd-al-'Aziz	عبد العزيز	L0	13	0	120349
'Ali	علي	L4	52 114	175 912	337551
al-Misri	المصري	L3	2307	2781	2683
Wafi	و في	L5	0	23	7
'Abd-al-Shafi	عبد الشافي	L0	-	-	4440
Walis	وليس	L5	-	-	2

Table 1 includes the following frequency data:



- ➤ Corpus Name Count represents the occurrences of Arabic as a name in CJKI's Arabic tagged corpus.
- **Corpus Nonname Count** represents the occurrences of Arabic as a nonname in CJKI's Arabic tagged corpus.
- **Phonebook Count** represents the occurrences of Arabic in CJKI phonebook databases. CJKI phonebook databases are built using data from Egypt, Saudi Arabia, Jordan, Tunisia, Lebanon, Bahrain, Oman, the Palestinian Territories, the United Arab Emirates, and other countries and jurisdictions.

Table 2. Database of Arabic Names in Arabic (DANA) Variants Shown									
Intelligence Community Romanization	Normalized Arabic	Variant Arabic	Name Probability	Corpus Name Count	Corpus Non- name Count	Phonebook Count			
Hasan	حسن	حسن	L4	1726	4853	212429			
'Abd-al-'Aziz	عبد العزيز	عبدالعزيز	L0	13	0	118158			
'Abd-al-'Aziz	عبد العزيز	عبد العزيز	LO	-	-	2191			
'Ali	علي	على	L4	49285	164818	274868			
'Ali	علي	علي	L4	2829	11094	78304			
'Ali	علي	عليّ	L4	-	-	-			
'Ali	علي	علىّ	L4	-	-	-			
al-Misri	المصري	المصري	L3	2307	2781	306			
al-Misri	المصري	المصرى	L3	-	-	2377			
al-Misri	المصري	المصريّ	L3	-	-	-			
al-Misri	المصري	المصريّ	L3	-	-	-			
Wafi	وفي	وفي	L5	0	23	6			
Wafi	وفي	وفي	L5	-	-	1			
Wafi	وفي	وفيّ	L5	-	-	-			
Wafi	وفي	وفيّ	L5	-	-	-			
'Abd-al-Shafi	عبد الشافي	عبد الشافي	L0	-	-	4440			
'Abd-al-Shafi	عبد الشافي	عبدالشافي	L0	-	-	4440			
'Abd-al-Shafi	عبد الشافي	عبد الشافي	L0	-	-	4440			
'Abd-al-Shafi	عبد الشافي	عبدالشافي	L0	-	-	4440			
Walis	وليس	وليس	L5	-	-	2			



3. Calculating Name Probability

The Name Probability field is a convenient way of encapsulating all the information contained in Corpus Name Count, Corpus Non–name Count and Phonebook Count fields. It shows the likelihood of a given DANA entry being a name, as opposed to being a non-name. Name Probability can take any of the following values:

- [L0] Almost always a name (90%+)
- [L1] Commonly a name (70-90%)
- [L2] Sometimes a name (50%+)
- [L3] Seldom a name (30-50%)
- [L4] Uncommonly a name (10-30%)
- [L5] Almost never a name (-10%)

Where available, tagged corpus data as found in Corpus Name Count and Corpus Nonname Count fields is probably the best way to calculate Name Probability. Simply dividing Corpus Name Count by the sum of itself and Corpus Nonname Count is sufficient to assign a Name Probability. For example, corpus data collectively shows that sufficient to assign a name. On the other hand, corpus data shows that although عبد العزيز is always a name, it is even more common as a nonname, so that it needs to be handled with a great deal of caution.

If a DANA entry is not present in CJKI's Arabic tagged corpus, Phonebook Count can be used to guess a Name Probability value. For example, وليس has a low Phonebook Count, which suggests that it is rarely used as a name. In contrast, المنافي has a relatively high Phonebook Count. Although the absence of these strings in the CJKI tagged corpus precludes the creation of any definitive Name Probability values, assigning L5 to عبد الشافي and L0 to عبد الشافي would seem to be reasonable. Of course, more corpus data would improve the accuracy of Name Probability. Much larger tagged corpora can be obtained, and even (easily created) untagged corpora of natural written Arabic can be used to greatly improve the accuracy of results.

Using the two Corpus Counts in combination with the Phonebook Count can be further augmented by making use of A-FULEX, probably the world's first Arabic Full Form Dictionary, currently under development at CJKI. This is a comprehensive lexicon that contains every conjugated, inflected and cliticized form in the language, which could be used to determine the status of a term with greater precision.